



Although a formal committee of Brighton & Hove City Council, the Health & Wellbeing Board has a remit which includes matters relating to the Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG), the Local Safeguarding Board for Children and Adults and Healthwatch.

Title: Approach to Commissioning

Date of Meeting: 12 June 2018

Report of: The Executive Director, Health and Adult Social Care

Contact: Andy Witham, Head of Adult Social Care Commissioning

Tel: 07825 358799

Email: andy.witham@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Wards Affected: All

FOR GENERAL RELEASE

Executive Summary

This report outlines the approach to the commissioning of the following services being presented to the Board on 12 June 2018.

- 1) Integrated Advocacy Services
- 2) Mental Health Community Services
- 3) Ageing Well Service

Glossary of Terms

BHCC - Brighton and Hove City Council

CCG - Clinical Commissioning Group

1. Decisions, recommendations and any options

- 1.1 That the Board notes the report.

2. Relevant information

- 2.1 With the intention of establishing fully integrated operational and commissioning arrangements from 2019 the Council and CCG Governing Body have approved proposals to support shadow arrangements from April 2018.
- 2.2 This paper sets out the approach to the joint commissioning of the three services listed above.

3. Commissioning Approach

- 3.1 The commissions as listed above will be run following an OJEU (Official Journal of the European Union) compliant process and in accordance with UK Procurement Regulations and the Council's own internal Contract Standing Orders.
- 3.2 The BHCC, procurement and legal teams are supporting the commissioning and procurement process and have been advising accordingly throughout.
- 3.3 The commissions are being delivered under the shadow year of integration as described above which has seen the Council and CCG further establish their approach to joint commissioning.
- 3.4 The reports listed have been through CCG and BHCC respective governance arrangements before being presented to the Board today (12 June 2018).
- 3.5 The commissioning proposals have been informed by evidence though a variety of, needs assessments, best practice guidance and extensive engagement activity.
- 3.6 This engagement activity has utilised both CCG, BHCC and Community support resources to ensure that providers, service users, professional and interested parties are engaged on both existing services and future models of delivery.

- 3.7 This engagement has helped shape and inform the lead provider models of delivery as described in the individual papers.
- 3.8 Each procurement process will use the MEAT (most economically advantageous tender) criteria which includes both a quality and price element which is used for evaluation purposes. The weighting used ensures that the process of evaluation will focus on the quality of the service rather than price being the overriding factor.
- 3.9 Social value requirements will be reviewed and included in line with the established Social Value Framework. These requirements will be incorporated into the specifications for each service along with appropriate weightings for tender evaluation purposes.
- 3.10 Community Works have been engaged to work with their members in the city to support with the commissioning activities and to ensure that the extensive range of skills, knowledge and experience is harnessed and best placed to support the models of delivery as described in the individual papers. This engagement needs to be managed in line with the public procurement principles of equal treatment and transparency.
- 3.11 The integrated approach to the contracting and contract management of these services is still in development but this will build upon the joint arrangements that currently exist where the Council contracts and contract manages these contracts on behalf of the CCG. This ensures that we reduce the burden on providers in terms of reporting and contract monitoring arrangements.

3. Important considerations and implications

Legal:

3.1 Legal implications are included in each individual report

As set out in the body of the report, the commissions referred to in the report will follow an OJEU (Official Journal of the European Union) compliant process in accordance with UK Procurement Regulations and the Council's own internal Contract Standing Orders. The legal implications of the individual schemes will be set out in the relevant report relating to that scheme.

Lawyer: Elizabeth Culbert

Date: 28 May 2018

Finance:

3.2 Financial Implication are included in each individual report

Finance Officer consulted: Sophie Warburton

Date: 30 May 2018

Equalities:

3.3 Proposals and procurement processes for each commission will assess equality impacts to ensure that negative impacts are mitigated and positive impacts maximised.

Equalities Officer consulted: Sarah Tighe-Ford

Date: 25 May 2018